

Comments from Surveys WITH School-Aged Children

- I am in favor of reconstruction of the middle school at its current location. The facilities at the middle school are in poor enough condition that it should be replaced but I would want it to remain at its current location. The location of the middle school is great but the building should be replaced again at current location. Build a new middle school at current location and add onto Prospect if need for space constraints . . . [or] to maintain current grade configs.
- All new!! Build New! Waste of \$. Build new! For the safety of our children, we need all new schools!
- Build new elementary school on current baseball fields on Pine St. Tear down existing building. New baseball fields on current building site. Need to tear down Prospect not build onto it. Honestly, I will vote for which ever option is presented. However, I believe only the least costly option here has a chance of passing.
- Build a new high school. Put middle school in current high school. Close middle school.
- For too long, the taxpayers of this community have refused to address the problems at our facilities only raising the costs of future renovation as the buildings decay further. We should be ashamed of ourselves for the facilities we allow/accept for our children which are clearly substandard. Rebuild Prospect. Build new schools.
- [If build new combination elem and middle on vacant land,] Will transportation be made available for kids who would normally be able to walk to school? If new schools couldn't be built, would support [adding on to the middle school and moving 5th grade].
- Sell the vacant land. Use \$ [to restore middle school gym and to upgrade to current codes.] [Regarding space constraints and enrollment numbers,] Work within what you have.
- [Might support building a school on the vacant land] someday but we are not there yet.
- Would prefer new schools on existing sites though. New school(s). Make all schools safe & attractive & won't lose students to parochial schools!
- [Vacant land] Location unsafe for children to walk to. Need something in city limits with sidewalks, etc. Seems to be more available space for expansion at LMMS.

- Do the figures to seek the most economical; times are tough for ALL of us; I'll bet every one of us needs reconstruction on our homes!!
- Tear down [middle school gym building].
- [Regarding question 5,] What are the differences in cost? How can we answer this? Without that information? My answer is based on the dollars!
- Nobody is driving a 1950 automobile everyday. Our kids should have the best, most updated schools available. Make City Hall a school!! Build new.
- Replace Prospect Elem with a new larger building on the same site. Move 6th grade to the new Prospect and close portions of the middle school until a 2nd referendum for a new m.s. can be passed.
- I find it interesting that you gave no space for comments!
- [Do not support leaving schools where currently located,] Especially the elementary & Middle School. Consolidate K-8. Saves resources & money, build 'green' set a new standard, unlike what our past school boards have done. Sell & move the middle school. Combine with elementary at a new building in an area less congested. I know many people that live only blocks away from the schools & still drive their children there so it's not a bussing issue.
- [Do not support building on vacant land] Unless building would be cheaper [and if], you couldn't fix the schools now[,] then I would choose [option regarding new combo elem and middle].
- [Regarding enrollment numbers] Could you deny open enrollment when enrollment gets too high. Leave LM district in first before other neighboring towns.
- [Regarding enrollment numbers] "I'd support either [building additional space at middle school and adjusting grade configurations or building additional space at both the middle and Prospect schools to maintain current grade configurations.]
- How about building a new elementary school to accommodate 4K students through 5th grade while we add on to the middle school. [Regarding the middle school gym,] It isn't falling down! Might as well worry about other problems.
- [Regarding building on vacant land] 1st choice is new elementary and 2nd choice, depending on cost," is new combination elementary and middle. 5th graders do not need to be in a middle school environment!
- [Regarding space constraints, fit within current space and] eliminate 4K.

- Which vacant land? NO! [to combination elementary and middle. Support] New or addition [to Prospect and middle school.]
- [Regarding middle school gym, support replacement at this site but also support constructing at] new site.
- No. Do not move 5th grade!
- [Combination elementary and middle] fixes all of the problems below.
- Build new Elementary/MS on vacant land . . . to accommodate larger enrollment at these facilities.
- Sell the vacant land.
- Update h.s. to adequate standards.
- Restore the gym, it's the best structure we have!!
- I would support any options for improvements but don't like fifth graders at the middle school.
- [Restore] Gym only. No other buildings associated with the Middle School.
- If [building on vacant land] is deemed the preferred sol'n by school board, prefer existing locations so students can transport themselves to & from school by foot or bike in elementary & middle school.
- [Construct new high school on vacant land] then move middle school to the high school location.
- Add on to Prospect [at] same location. Close middle school. H.S. to become new M.S. Build new High School on land. Need to start over! Our children & the community deserve better!
- [Regarding how the four District properties should serve long-term needs,] Don't know enough about this to say.
- Vacate middle school. Make high school a 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, grade. Build a new high school on property owned on south side of town. [In regard to enrollment changes,] We will have the same problems in the high school in future years. [In regard to middle school gym,] Sell it to pay for part of a new high school.
- Build new school.
- Vacate [middle school and] tear it down.
- Note: fix L-cat mascot, so it's not cross-eyed.

- Please note, we made our choice based on all things being equal. If you determine that the cost of adding on to the current locations would not get the community's support, we'd support the other alternatives as well. Thanks for your work on this referendum!
- [Support building on vacant land only] if elementary [and] not if combines elementary & middle school. No way [to new high school on vacant land because] current facility is just fine! No way [to moving 5th grade to middle school.] I will pull my kids out of school if this happens!!
- Fix what is needed. Avoid luxuries in this tight economy. Use common sense in planning. Stay within budgets and don't antagonize home owners and citizens.
- Question 3 – comment “I don't think the 5th graders should be with the 6, 7 and 8th graders.” Box marked none of the above. Comment was “don't have the 4K at the elementary school.” Question 5 comment was “this building should have been maintained throughout the years.”
- Question 5 – box 4 marked and “at this site” crossed off. Comment was “at new site on south side of town next to new school.”
- Question 2 Option B - after “a new high school” comment was “when eventually needed.”
- Question 2 Option B - a new combination elementary school and middle school comment was “and H.S. similar to Marshall.”
- Question 2 Option A – comment was “sell the lot and use the \$ for a new school.” Also attached is a slip of paper suggesting every school age child be charged for a “new school fund.”